

**ATTENDANCE:** Abarbanell, Abel-Mills, Aguilar, Ahsan, Alkebulan, Asante, Atkins, Atterton, Attiq, Aziz, Baek, Baljon, Bani Younes, Barbone, Bedau, Bernerth, Blomgren, Borgstrom, Brooks, Butler Byrd, Carter-Curtis, Chizhik, Cruter, Csomay, Degeneffe, Delgado, Dykstra-DeVette, Fletcher, Fuller, L. Gates, M. Gates, Gibbons, Greicar, Gubellini, Hentschel, Hernández, Holvoet, Horton, Jacobs, Jancsics, Jeffery, Johnson, Juan, Kamper, Kelley, Kim, Kraemer, Lach, LaChance, Lenoue, Liu, J. Love, T. Love-Geffen, MacKenzie, Madanat, Maloney, Marx, Miles, Mladenov, Moore, Nedjat-Haiem, O'Neill, O'Sullivan, Ornatowski, Orona, Orosz, Ozturk, Paolini, Papin, Ponce, Ponomarenko, Preminger, Quick, Rauh, Reh fuss, Rhodes, Richeson, Rothenberger, Sasidharan, Savage, Schellenberg, Schmitz Weiss, Schuermann, Schulze, Sharma, Skupin, Spidel, Stoskopf, Tang, Tucker, Urada, Walls, Weston, Wheeler, Wills, Winter.

**GUESTS:** Catano, Chung, Foral, Hebert, McCall, Robinson, Wilson.

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

**Secretary Fuller** notes that quorum has been reached.

**Chair Butler Byrd** calls the meeting to order.

### 1.1. Land Acknowledgement

We stand upon a land that carries the footsteps of millennia of Kumeyaay people. They are a people whose traditional lifeways intertwine with a worldview of earth and sky in a community of living beings. This land is part of a relationship that has nourished, healed, protected and embraced the Kumeyaay people to the present day. It is part of a world view founded in the harmony of the cycles of the sky and balance in the forces of life. For the Kumeyaay, red and black represent the balance of those forces that provide for harmony within our bodies as well as the world around us.

As students, faculty, staff and alumni of San Diego State University we acknowledge this legacy from the Kumeyaay. We promote this balance in life as we pursue our goals of knowledge and understanding. We find inspiration in the Kumeyaay spirit to open our minds and hearts. It is the legacy of the red and black. It is the land of the Kumeyaay.

Eyay e'Hunn My heart is good.

—*Michael Miskwish, Kumeyaay Nation*

**Chair Butler Byrd** read the Land Acknowledgement.

## **1.2. Principles of Shared Governance**

Trust is recognized as a fundamental ingredient that is essential for effective shared governance. Without trust, the practices of partnership, inclusion, open communication, ownership, and accountability are likely to break down. SDSU community members have identified three key principles for shared governance at SDSU that all rely on the fundamental ingredient of TRUST: Respect, Communication, Responsibility.

**Chair Butler Byrd** read the Principles of Shared Governance.

## **1.3. Welcome (Butler-Byrd)**

**Chair Butler Byrd** provided a welcome statement, noting recent updates to today's agenda.

## **2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA (Fuller)**

**Secretary Fuller** moves approval of the Senate Meeting Agenda for 11/1/22, noting recent updates to today's agenda as described by Chair Butler Byrd. The motion is accepted by unanimous consent.

## **3. APPROVAL OF SENATE MEETING MINUTES (Fuller)**

**3.1.** Senate Meeting Minutes for 10/06/22: [https://senate.sdsu.edu/\\_pages\\_senate](https://senate.sdsu.edu/_pages_senate)

**Secretary Fuller** moves approval of the Senate Meeting Minutes from 10/6/22. **Senator Roberto D. Hernández** notes that he was in attendance but is not noted as such. Secretary Fuller will add his name to the attendance roster prior to publication. The motion is accepted by unanimous consent.

## **4. REPORTS**

### **4.1. SEC Report (Butler-Byrd)**

4.1.1. Report on the Intersegmental Committee of Academic Senates (ICAS) Cal-GETC (AB-928) Survey.

4.1.2. Referral 11/2020: Academic Dishonesty During COVID-19 Online Teaching

**Chair Butler Byrd:**

### **4.2. Senate Vice Chair's Report (Vasquez)**

4.2.1. Referral Chart.....Page 6

**Vice Chair Vasquez** provided a review of the current referral chart.

**4.3. Senate Treasurer’s Report (Sharma)**

4.3.1. Senate Expenditures & Assigned Time Audit.....Page 7

**Treasurer Sharma** provided a review of the current Senate expenditure list for AY 22-23.

**4.4. President’s Report (de la Torre)**

4.4.1. Title IX Updates SDSU Individual Campus Visit from Cozen O’Connor regarding Systemwide Title IX/DHR Assessment—November 1/2/3, 2022.  
[CSU Cozen O’Connor Systemwide Individual Campus Visit Schedule](#)

4.4.2. Budget Town Hall

**Santos Derieg:** 00:15:37 The President is with our Cozen team who is on campus from today to Thursday. I ask if Jessica Rento could be recognized.

**Guest Rentto:** We have members of Cozen O’Connor, they are a law firm. They are on campus as part of their system wide assessment of the CSU Title IX and DHR processes. They are spending 3 days on campus. They are meeting with students, faculty, and staff, implementers of our policies on our campus, as well as stakeholders and individuals with experience working with these practices. . The purpose of the assessment is to strengthen SDSU’s culture by assessing current practices. They will be providing recommendations to things that the CSU and the campuses can do to advance our Title IX and DHR training, awareness, prevention, intervention, compliance, and support systems. DHR is discrimination, harassment, and retaliation. We are having meetings and want participants to be honest and not sugar coat, as the team can recommend the best way to improve our policies and practices. There will be a survey that is sent out to all faculty, staff, and students to provide their input and perspective on our Title IX and DHR policies and practices both at the campus level and the system wide level. The team will create a report to present to the board of Trustees.

**Senator Baljon:** Wondering if the team has met with some students that have been involved with the Title IX as some have been vocal.

**Guest Rentto:** Yes, they have met with some selected students. We also put a group together of students that worked with AS. Our Women’s Resource Center, Student Affairs to identify students who have been working on sexual violence, prevention and awareness across campus. We have a group of students who will be meeting with Cozen as well.

**Santos Derieg:** I'll post in the chat the recording of the last budget forum. We had a number of system wide conversations. They have now finished census at the system level regarding their overall enrollment and budgetary outlook and initial negotiations with the Governor's Office. That becomes additionally cemented in December, and anticipating the January's Governor's budget. We have additional Town Halls. Our goal is to be as transparent and collaborative as possible.

#### **4.5. Provost's Report (Ochoa)**

- 4.5.1. Sabbatical application and review process
- 4.5.2. Graduation Initiative (GI) 2025 Graduate Rates
- 4.5.3. Western Senior College and University Commission (WSCUC)(McCall)

**Provost Ochoa:** 00:22:50 We have received our graduate rates officially from the Chancellor's office. Our four year graduation rates, 56.6% this year. Our six year graduate rates went up from 76.1% to 78%. The two year transfer graduation rate was 57.4% but went down to 50.5%. The four year graduation rate has gone up from 80.3% to 86.6%. Unfortunately the equity gap has increased from URM [under-represented minority students] and we did very well. Last year it was 2.3% and this year 5.2%. For Pell-eligible students, It went up from 3.4% to 8.8%

**Provost Ochoa:** 00:25:51 We have received 70 applications for sabbaticals and we have to do 38 which is a minimum number under the collective union. The President is supportive of offering more sabbaticals issued that will be funded by the Provost Office. We will be funding 8-9 additional sabbaticals than what we need to. Concerns from faculty have been that some people get rejected on the first, second, third try and others get it on their first try. We're asking colleges to consider what they can do to strengthen their portfolios.

**Guest McCall:** 00:29:36 Provost Ochoa yields his time for Madhavi. First, the WASC Steering Committee met last week, recommended to the President the issue to adopt a thematic pathway for reaffirmation as a report we will write. The President did accept that recommendation. What we are in the process of now, we did send an email and we've applied to do a TPR. We are brainstorming about what themes SDSU might want to pick that reflects us best to tell our story. To the Senators if you have any ideas on themes that can be appropriate for SDSU, something you want to consider for the report feel free to drop me an email with your thoughts. The theme we pick must be data driven.

**Guest McCall:** 00:31:02 Second, the final recommendation to the Intersectional Committee was that 3 units of area will be removed from the lower division pathway. The other recommendation is the 3 units of Arts & Humanities be reduced from the lower division pathway. Legislation does

indicate that if the Intersectional Committee cannot come up with a consensus on a pathway, that the administrators of the institutions will have to do so. They may include the State Legislators in that as well. It is to the benefit of faculty to try to work this out before May.

**Guest McCall:** 00:32:21 Another thing I am hearing about AB-928, whether or not lower division students and transfer students first time. Freshman and transfer students will all be committed to the same pathway. There is a conversation that first time freshmen should have a different pathway than transfer students. Legislation only applies to transfer students. CSU at this moment is that the lower division pathway should be near the same pathway for a first time Freshman and as our transfer students to not do so would be an equity issue. It would also be an issue that assumes students come to SDSU as first time Freshman and then stay at SDSU which is not always the case.

**Senator Baljon:** 00:33:42 After reading the legislative language, pre-majors are not allowed to have more than 60 units, but some special exceptions could be made for 66 units. Is that still under consideration?

**Guest McCall:** As far as I know they are still pursuing that. The reason it hasn't come up much is because until we know that pathway, we won't know what we should do with those pre major units. There's also some conversation about Upper Division GE. There's some conversation about American institutions that are not part of AB 928 but might become a consequence of AB 928. But yes, the intention is that this will simplify the process and will not harm the students.

#### 4.6. Vice President IT & Chief Information Officer Report (Sheehan)

##### 4.6.1. PeopleSoft/ mySDSU transition

**Vice President Sheehan:** 00:35:47 Timeline, we are 22 days into the use of PeopleSoft by the MySDSU component. September 26th was when academic record was available, as we postponed the release of the unofficial and official transcript until this Friday the 4th. We are working with a 3rd party vendor who is the transition when students pay for their transcript. Second, we had some customization of the transcript to add the University seal and signature of the registrar to have attached which is why it was delayed. The full Spring 2023 registration is starting right now on the 28th. We are seeing about 3K students logging in a day, 800 employees, 4k new students that have been admitted. Students accessing their registration appointment to begin that advising month, we have 37K undergraduate appointments, and 7K graduate appointments. We are now adjusting to Cal State Apply which is the platform students apply for admission to SDSU. 15K applications, mixture of undergraduate and graduate.

**Vice President Sheehan:** 00:37:41 We held a MySDSU Town Hall last Friday. Panelists included IT Division, Academic Affairs, Student Affairs. 230 participants in that meeting. The video for the slides

and Q&A are posted now on the MySDSU site. We are waiting to open the registration timeline later in November since there are still issues that need to be addressed. On the ServiceNow platform that started on the 10th, we have about 730 tickets. We've been able to close up to 300 now. We are averaging 50 tickets a day. The goal is to get back to the user and resolve the issue within 72 hours. The largest issue is access. Other questions about usability, how to get data they need working, and clusters of future enhancements.

**Senator Kamper:** 00:41:01 Question about potential workload for faculty and that last time was mentioned it would potentially be referred to the Labor Management Council. Has any report from that happened yet?

**Vice President Sheehan:** Deferred to see if the Provost has an update on that.

**Provost Ochoa:** I have not done that yet.

**Senator Barbone:** Staff will now have to do things that faculty used to be able to do, for example I want to see my student feedback from a couple of years ago, and I've been told I have to ask our staff to do it for me, seems like staff now has more work.

**Vice President Sheehan:** Today any faculty member can go into Web Portal and get access to anything in the past that they want. Then the appropriate way to get access would be through an administrative contact that could be our staff. It could be departmental coordinators that have yet to be worked out. That's something we can evaluate over the course of the next year.

#### **4.7. Associated Students President's Report (Moore)**

**A.S. President Moore:** 01:02:30 Aztec Rock Hunger is in its final week. The proceeds go to Jacobs and Cushman Food Bank, and some proceeds come back to our own food pantry. Rock the Vote we are trying to get students prepared to vote for the November 6th election. We have started the Safety Committee and met to address some of the safety issues of the campus.

#### **4.8. Campus Fee Advisory Committee(CFAC)(Schuermann)**

##### **4.8.1. SDSU Imperial Valley Student Success Fee referendum.....Page 8**

**Schuermann:** 00:44:53 This Fall there will be a referendum on a proposal to expand the SDSU Student Success Fee to SDSU IV. We are in the information sharing period. The students will have a chance to vote. The vote will take place on Nov 28th & 29th. In 2014 the Student Success Fee was approved. 90% of the funds go to fund faculty and the other 10% go to fund academic related programs, and student-led programs outside of the classroom that enrich their experience. The Fee was approved to be charged to SDSU students. not SDSU OV students. It's been used to hire 82

additional faculty. Since IV students do not pay the fee, they do not benefit or have access to these benefits. This will not affect what SDSU students pay, only affects IV students. There is going to be a link sent out via email to students. The CFAC website has all the information. The link is also included in this report in this agenda packet.

**Senator Lach:** 00:48:08 Question about all students getting to vote on this, a small number of SDSU students voting can still outnumber the IV students voting. I'm curious to hear more about that decision.

**Chair Robinson:** This is in our Associated Students Election Code. It says that all eligible SDSU are fully enrolled, SDSU students vote in every election. The argument to the Chancellor's office is that if this is only going to have an impact on IV students, only those students should vote. Feedback was that we received an interpretation of our Elections Code that every student has to vote, so unfortunately we have to follow the guidance of the Chancellor's office. It's not changing the fee or its use, it's just extending it.

**Norma Aguilar:** 00:50:18 I share the concern that the students from the La Mesa campus will be voting on something that impacts our students. Maybe our campus can initiate a campaign to inform students at SDSU campus of what this is and how it won't impact them. It can be positive or negative depending on how you look at it.

**Scott Kelley:** 00:51:30 The state allocated 80 million dollars to the IV campus recently in the budget. Coincidentally at the same time, it did not allocate 100 million dollars to our salary. That's about \$80K per student that has been shifted over to the Imperial Valley given their numbers. It makes you wonder how it is benefiting the students down there. It is my guess that it is not really going to them or their tuition, can someone elaborate on that? Why is there so much money and they will have a fee?

**Chair Robinson:** You are correct, it is not going to the students but it is going to buildings. We were allocated funding specifically for a new facility. So that was a one time funding from the Student. It's not an ongoing resource that we can use to support additional faculty lines as is proposed here. It does have a specific intention outside of what this fee is addressing.

**Linda Abarbanell:** Student fees were increased and scheduled increased over the next 5 years. I'm curious as to whether this is another increase on top of that. The last increase that was approved was controversial among faculty and people in our campus. I think it would be a good idea if somebody would come to our campus and explain all of this and provide information that's not already in the works.

**Chair Robinson:** Yes, we are trying to schedule an in-person session for just sharing information, but also a Q&A at Imperial Valley.

**Chair Hernández:** 00:54:03 Is there a way to disaggregate both, so we know what the vote of IV might be? Because if they vote against this, the numbers of the La Mesa campus tilted over the other direction. I would have a problem with the SD Mesa campus imposing their views on the other campus. I want to note that the \$80M going to that building. That's not our faculty fees. I don't appreciate that suggestion that somehow IV is being taken away from our faculty. Those are our faculty over there as well and our students and should be respected as such.

**Jeremy Bernerth:** 00:55:24 Wondering if there is anything in this fee if it does pass that earmarks it for IV. Is this going to be earmarked for faculty at IV? Or is it going to be them paring for more resources on our campus?

**Agnes Wong Niickerson:** The 90% of the IV student fee if passed will be dedicated to faculty hiring for that IV campus. The 10% academic related activity fee will be pulled with the main campus ten %, so all students, doesn't matter what campus, can apply to the same 10% funds for any student initiated project, and then they go to the same process for review and selection.

**Scott Kelley:** 00:57:15 In response to Chair Hernández, It was all 23 campuses that didn't get that raise. Also wanted to ask why there is no formula tying together campus expansion with faculty and staff expansion. If you build new buildings, you have to put in the proper number of faculty and staff. Strange that you would build new buildings and the state would not give enough money to hire new staff and faculty.

**Agnes Wong Niickerson:** It is very important to separate one time funding with ongoing funding. Compensation needs is our ongoing funding. They separate a pool from the State budget as far as CSU budget. If we don't have the facility we cannot grow the enrollment in the IV campus. There is a plan to offer new programs there.

**Agnes Wong Niickerson:** Georgia students don't pay a similar fee because that program is actually part of the Global Campus program. They are not part of the State side.

**Senator Ahsan:** I understand the IV students are not paying the fee. Are they getting access to the 10% of academic related programs paid by our students on the main campus?

**Chair Robinson:** They do not currently have access to those programs. If the vote passes they would have access to the entire pool with the rest of the students. So it's in the best interest of IV students to vote.

## 5. RESOLUTIONS:

- 5.1.1. To Increase Number of Awarded Sabbatical Applications(FA)(Abel-Mills)  
[Time Certain: 3:45pm].....Page 9

Motion (Abel-Mills/Vasquez) to approve the resolution passes ( 70 Yes | 0 No | 5 Abstain).



- 5.1.2. Feedback on the Adoption of the California General Education Transfer Curriculum (Cal-GETC) to Succeed GETC (Butler-Byrd).....Page 11

Chair Butler Byrd provides a brief overview and no members had any clarifying questions. Motion (Butler Byrd/Fuller) to approve the resolution passes (32 Yes | 1 No | 28 Abstain).

## 6. ACTION ITEMS: UNFINISHED BUSINESS

### 6.1. Constitution and ByLaws (CBL)(Baljon)

- 6.1.1. Revise Posting Senate Agendas and Materials Bylaw (2nd reading).....Page 15

Secretary Fuller makes a friendly amendment to combine 13.1 and 13.3 into one 13.1 item to avoid confusion. Baljon accepts the friendly amendment. Motion (Baljon/Fuller) to approve this policy update with the friendly amendment passes (64 Yes | 0 No | 4 Abstain).

- 6.1.2. CBL Committee Membership (2nd reading).....Page 17

Noting the need for Senate Officers to make a referral to CBL relating to how to replace committee members who no longer serve on Senate, the motion (Baljon/Barbone) to approve this policy change passes (57 Yes | 1 No | 19 Abstain).

## 7. ACTION ITEMS: NEW BUSINESS

### 7.1. Academic Policies & Planning (AP&P)(Lach)

- 7.1.1. Minor Modifications to Existing Undergraduate Courses.....Page 18

Strong support from Senator Schellenberg and others is noted. Motion (Lach/Abel-Mills) to approve this policy change passes (71 Yes | 2 No | 2 Abstain).

### 7.2. Committee on Committees and Elections (CCE) (Marx)

- 7.2.1. Committee Appointments & Vacancies.....Page 21

Motion (Marx/Barbone) to approve these appointments passes by unanimous consent.

7.2.2. ASCSU Election results

Noting that this item is misclassified as Action, when it is actually a report: **Chair Marx** reports the election of Wil Weston to replace Cezar Ornatowski as ASCSU Senator.

**7.3. Constitution and ByLaws (CBL)(Baljon)**

- 7.3.1. Review membership & electorate in light of update to bylaws (1st reading).....Page 27

These items were discussed and feedback was provided.

**7.4. President’s Budget Advisory Committee (PBAC)(Wong-Nickerson)**

- 7.4.1. Request to clarify the Senate & elected membership of the PBAC committee .....Page 31

Motion (**Fuller/Barbone**) to extend the meeting by 10 minutes to 4:40pm passes ( **50 Yes | 4 No | 1 Abstain**).

Motion (**Wong-Nickerson/Fuller**) to approve this policy change. Chair Lach asks for more time to review AP&P notes and make sure that the policy update as proposed is in line with what the committee advocated for when this revision began in 2019. The item has been pulled and will come back during the next meeting cycle.

**7.5. Student Grievance Committee (SGC)(Fuller)**

- 7.5.1. Change to the Senate Policy File section focused on the SGC Vice Chair .....Page 34

Motion (**Fuller/Barbone**) to approve this policy change passes by unanimous consent.

**7.6. Undergraduate Curriculum Committee (UCC)(Barbone)**

- 7.6.1. 500-level Courses (UCC)(GC)(Barbone)(Love).....Page 35
- 7.6.2. Undergraduate Courses.....Page 36

Motion (**Barbone/Schellenberg**) to approve these changes (**49 Yes | 1 No | 9 Abstain**)

**7.7. Graduate Council (GC)(Love)**

7.7.1. Graduate Courses.....Page 37

Motion (**Love/Barbone**) to approve these changes by unanimous consent.

7.7.2. Graduate Programs.....Page 38

Motion (**Love/Abel-Mills**) to approve these changes by unanimous consent.

**8. INFORMATION ITEMS**

Motion (**Sharma/Fuller**) to accept these information reports in their entirety passes by unanimous consent.

**8.1. Academic Policies & Planning (AP&P)(Lach)**

8.1.1. Math readiness and precarity / Math equity gaps.....Page 39

**8.2. Constitution and ByLaws (CBL)(Baljon)**

8.2.1. ASCSU Senate Representation.....Page 50

**8.3. Committee on Diversity, Equity & Inclusion (DEI)(Hernández)**

8.3.1. AY 2022-23 DEI Agenda.....Page 53

**8.4. Undergraduate Curriculum Committee (UCC)(Barbone)**

8.4.1. 500-level Courses (UCC)(GC)(Barbone)(Love).....Page 54

8.4.2. Undergraduate Courses.....Page 56

8.4.3. Undergraduate Programs.....Page 61

**8.5. Graduate Council (GC)(Love)**

8.5.1. Graduate Courses.....Page 69

8.5.2. Graduate Programs.....Page 71

**8.6. General Education Program (GE)(Wilson)**

8.6.1. General Education Program.....Page 73

**8.7. Undergraduate Council (UC)(Brooks)**

8.7.1. Report on Undergraduate Council business.....Page 74

**8.8. University Relations and Development (URAD) (Vargas).....Page 76**

**9. ADJOURN**

Motion (**Fuller/Schellenberg**) to adjourn the meeting at 4:40pm.